UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,644	04/16/2004	Lila Shepley	22803-2	2290	
John S. Beulick	7590 02/12/2007		. EXAMINER		
Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600			SILBERMANN, JOANNE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Metropolit St. Louis, MO			3611		
J. 20013, 1-10					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

10/826 644

APPLICATION NO./	FILING DATE
CONTROL NO	

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER	
-----------------	--

ART UNIT PAPER

20070206

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Joanne Silbermann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3611

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/826,644	SHEPLEY, LILA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joanne Silbermann	3611		

	Joanne Silbermann	3611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 18 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			Coausc				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		, ,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(F10L-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	movable il dabililited ill a deparate,	among mod amondm	ant cancoming the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:			•				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7-11,14,15</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
ine request for reconsideration has been considered by	11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	1 - 11 :					
		Johnne Silberman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3611	n				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)